Multi-CAST

Northern Kurdish annotation notes

Geoffrey Haig

Maria Vollmer

Hanna Thiele

January 2021











Citation for this document

Haig, Geoffrey & Vollmer, Maria & Thiele, Hanna. 2021. Multi-CAST Northern Kurdish annotation notes. In Haig, Geoffrey & Schnell, Stefan (eds.), *Multi-CAST: Multilingual corpus of annotated spoken texts.* (multicast.aspra.uni-bamberg.de/#nkurd) (date accessed)

Citation for the Multi-CAST collection

Haig, Geoffrey & Schnell, Stefan (eds.). 2015. *Multi-CAST: Multilingual corpus of annotated spoken texts.* (multicast.aspra.uni-bamberg.de/) (date accessed)

The Multi-CAST collection has been archived at the *University of Bamberg*, Germany, and is freely accessible online at multicast.aspra.uni-bamberg.de/.

The entirety of Multi-CAST, including this document, is published under the *Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Licence* (CC BY 4.0), unless noted otherwise. The licence can be reviewed online at creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

 $\it Multi-CAST Northern Kurdish annotation notes$ v2.1 last updated 27 January 2021 This document was typeset by NNS with $\it X_TLAT_TX$ and the $\it multicast3$ class (v3.2.3).

Contents

| 1 Note | es on the GRAID annotations ———————————————————————————————————— | 1 |
|---------|--|---|
| 1.1 | Transitive and intransitive verbs: Verbs of speech | 1 |
| 1.2 | Light verb constructions | 1 |
| 1.3 | Adpositions | 3 |
| 1.4 | Verb serialization and the verb 'bring' | 3 |
| Referei | nces | 3 |
| Append | dices | 4 |
| Α | List of corpus-specific GRAID symbols | 4 |
| R | List of approviated morphological glosses | 5 |

1 Notes on the GRAID annotations

This document contains notes on the implementation of the GRAID annotation conventions (Haig & Schnell 2014) in the Multi-CAST Northern Kurdish corpus. It corresponds to version 2101 of the annotations, published in January 2021. Unless a more recent version of this document exists, it also applies to any later versions of the annotations.

1.1 Transitive and intransitive verbs: Verbs of speech

Transitive and intransitive verbs can be distinguished on morphological grounds: transitive verbs trigger the ergative construction in the past tenses, while intransitives do not. In general, this was taken as the main diagnostic for distinguishing transitive and intransitive predicates, with one main exception: verbs of speech, in particularly *gotin* 'say'. Morphologically, it definitely belongs in the class of transitive verbs. However, it is very rarely used with a nominal object; rather, it generally introduces direct speech, which may continue for several clauses (and hence is difficult to classify as an "object").

We have therefore decided to treat this verb as intransitive, but attach a special specifier to the form gloss of the verb, $\langle ds_v \rangle$, in order to facilitate the identification of these (highly frequent) verb forms. If the complement of the verb is direct speech, as it is in the overwhelming majority of cases, then the complement clause receives the clause boundary gloss $\langle \#ds \rangle$, and the subject of the verb of speech is treated as a special case of intransitive subject, namely $\langle : s_ds \rangle$. In those few cases where the complement is a nominal object and hence glossed as $\langle :p \rangle$, the subject of the verb of speech is glossed $\langle :a_ds \rangle$.

```
(1) Qîzikê gotîye: Perê min pir e.
```

```
q\hat{i}zik-\hat{e} got-\hat{i}ye: per-\hat{e} min pir =e girl-OBL say.PST-PRF.3SG money-EZ 1SG.OBL much = COP.3SG # np.h:s_ds ds_v:pred #ds np:s rn_pro.1:poss other:pred = cop 'The girl said, "I have much money." [mc_nkurd_muserz01_0115]
```

1.2 Light verb constructions

Northern Kurdish makes extensive use of light verb constructions: some non-verbal element is combined with one of a small number of so-called light verbs, most commonly 'do, be, give, strike, bring,' to create a new predicate. Many of these can conveniently be treated as simple predicates, and in some cases they are orthographically treated as one word. In other cases the borderline to other combinations of generic object plus verb is difficult to draw. For highly frequent combinations, particularly if the non-verbal element does not occur outside of the particular combination, we have glossed the non-verb combination with $\langle :1vc \rangle$:

```
(2) Em ê ji xwe ra xwedî kin.
```

Light verb constructions raise certain issues with regard to transitivity. As a general rule in Northern Kurdish, lexical verbs are quite strictly sub-categorized for transitivity. Those that belong in the class of transitive verbs will always trigger an ergative subject in past tenses, and this is usually taken as a diagnostic for transitivity (see above). With light verb constructions, the same rule applies: a transitive light verb (e.g. kirin 'do', dan 'give') triggers an ergative subject in past tenses. However, at the level of syntax many of the light verb constructions created with a transitive light verb do not govern a referential direct object, and in terms of their semantics, they more closely resemble an intransitive predicate. This results in a mismatch of lexical and syntactic transitivity (see Haig 2002 for a discussion). In such cases we have generally followed syntactic transitivity, and have treated the subject as $\langle :s \rangle$. However, in order to facilitate identification of this class of $\langle :s \rangle$, we have added a specifier $\langle :s_cp \rangle$ ('complex predicate'). This gloss indicates that we are dealing with the subject of a light verb construction, with a transitive lexical verb, but overall syntactic intransitivity; the same convention has also been applied to the Multi-CAST Persian corpus (Adibifar 2016, see annotation notes therein). This glossing convention is is exemplified in (3).

(3) Bila bibe ewleda me, tu çima meraq dikî?

```
bila
                  bi-b-е
                                             me
                                                                  tu
                                                                              çima
    may
           O_she sbj-be.prs-3sg child-EZ
                                                                  2s<sub>G</sub>
                                                                              why
                                             1PL.OBL
#ds other 0.h:s v:pred
                                np.h:other rn_pro.1:poss #ds pro.2:s_cp other
         di-k-î
merag
worrying IND-do.PRS-2SG
np:lvc v:pred
"'May she become our own child — why are you worrying?"
```

[mc_nkurd_muserz01_0069]

Example (4) illustrates the straightforward case of an intransitive light verb, with the subject treated simply as $\langle : s \rangle$. (5) shows a transitive light verb in a light verb construction that can govern a direct object; here the subject is glossed as a regular $\langle : a \rangle$.

(4) Rastê pîrekî tê.

```
rast-ê pîrek-î tê

0_she meeting-EZ old_woman-OBL IND.come.PRS.3SG

## 0.h:s np:lvc np.h:obl v:pred

'She met an old lady.' [mc_nkurd_muserz01_0106]
```

(5) Pîre tîne ji wan ra nan-man hazir dike.

```
pîre
                tîn-e
                                      wan
                                                         nan-man hazir
                                               ra
    old_woman IND.bringPRS-3sG for
                                      3PL.OBL
                                               POP
                                                        food-RED
                                                                  prepared
## np.h:a
               lv_vother
                                                                   other:1vc
                                adp pro.h:g pop_adp np:p
di-k-e
IND-do.PRS-3SG
v:pred
'The old lady prepared a meal for them.'
                                                         [mc_nkurd_muserz01_0149]
```

1.3 Adpositions

Northern Kurdish has quite a number of circumpositions consisting of a preposition plus a post-positional clitic particle. The former is glossed (adp), the latter (pop_adp); see (2) above for an example. In some cases, a third person singular pronominal complement of a circumposition is reduced in form and fuses with the preposition. In such examples, we detach the bound pronoun from the preposition, providing it with its own annotation cell. This is illustrated in (6).

(6) Ezê te pê ra bizewicînim.

```
ex =ê te bi -wê ra bi-zewicîn-im
1sG =FUT 2sG.OBL with -3sG.OBL with sBJ-marry.PRs-1sG
##ds pro.1:a =lv_aux pro.2:p adp -pro.h:obl pop_adp v:pred
"'I will betroth you with him." [mc_nkurd_muserz03_0009]
```

1.4 Verb serialization and the verb 'bring'

In the dialect of Northern Kurdish recorded for this corpus, we often find tight sequences of motion verb together with another verb, commonly $an\hat{i}n$ 'bring, take'. The two verbs generally share a common subject. The problem is to decide whether we are dealing with two distinct predications, or a single item. Related to this is the use of $an\hat{i}n$ as a kind of aspectual converb, expressing swift and direct action, rather than its lexical meaning of 'take, bring'. We have been obliged to decide on a case-by-case basis, based on criteria of agreement patterns (sometimes one verb does not carry the expected agreement), and the semantics of the overall expression, that is, to what extent it can be considered a sequence of two distinct propositions or a single event.

References

Adibifar, Shirin. 2016. Multi-CAST Persian. In Haig, Geoffrey & Schnell, Stefan (eds.), *Multi-CAST: Multilingual Corpus of Annotated Spoken Texts*. (https://multicast.aspra.uni-bamberg.de/#persian) (Accessed 2019-03-08).

Haig, Geoffrey. 2002. Noun-plus-verb complex predicates in Kurmanji Kurdish: Argument sharing, argument incorporation, or what? *Sprachtypologie und Universalienforschung (STUF)* 55(1). 15–48.

Haig, Geoffrey. 2018. Northern Kurdish (Kurmanjî). In Haig, Geoffrey & Khan, Geoffrey (eds.), *The languages and linguistics of Western Asia*: *An areal perspective*, 106–158. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.

Haig, Geoffrey & Öpengin, Ergin. 2018. Kurmanji in Turkey: Structure, varieties, and status. In Bulut, Christiane (ed.), *Linguistic minorities in Turkey and Turkic-speaking minorities of the peripheries*, vol. 111. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.

Haig, Geoffrey & Schnell, Stefan. 2014. *Annotations using GRAID (Grammatical Relations and Animacy in Discourse): Introduction and guidelines for annotators (version 7.0).* (https://multicast.aspra.uni-bamberg.de/#annotations) (Accessed 2019-03-08).

Haig, Geoffrey & Schnell, Stefan (eds.). 2016. *Multi-CAST: Multilingual Corpus of Annotated Spoken Texts*. (https://multicast.aspra.uni-bamberg.de/) (Accessed 2019-03-08).

Haig, Geoffrey & Vollmer, Maria C. & Thiele, Hanna. 2019. Multi-CAST Northern Kurdish. In Haig, Geoffrey & Schnell, Stefan (eds.), *Multi-CAST: Multilingual Corpus of Annotated Spoken Texts*. (https://multicast.aspra.uni-bamberg.de/#nkurd) (Accessed 2019-07-05).

Öpengin, Ergin & Haig, Geoffrey. 2014. Regional variation in Kurmanji: A preliminary classification of dialects. *Kurdish Studies* 2(2). 143–176.

Appendices

A List of corpus-specific GRAID symbols

The following is a list of the non-standard GRAID symbols used in the annotation of the Multi-CAST Northern Kurdish corpus. Please refer to the *GRAID manual* (Haig & Schnell 2014: 54–55) for an inventory of basic GRAID symbols.

Form symbols and specifiers

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} $\langle f\emptyset \rangle$ & structurally suppressed argument slot of a predicate $$\langle rel_f0 \rangle$ & gapped argument in a relative clause $$$\langle rel_pro \rangle$ & relative pronoun $$\langle pn_np \rangle$ & proper name $$\langle ds_v \rangle$ & verb of speaking $$\langle intrg_other \rangle$ & interrogative pronoun $$$$

Function symbols and specifiers

| <:lvc> | non-verbal complement of a light verb construction |
|---------|---|
| <:s_cp> | subject of an intransitive complex predicate with transitive light verb |
| <:a_ds> | subject of a transitive verb of speech |
| <:s_ds> | subject of a intransitive verb of speech |

Clause boundary symbols

⟨#rep⟩, ⟨_rep⟩ clause unit is a verbatim repetition of a preceding clause

Subconstituent symbols

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} $\langle _dem \rangle$ & adnominal demonstrative; attaches to $\langle 1n \rangle$ and $\langle rn \rangle$ \\ $\langle _num \rangle$ & adnominal numeral or quantifier; attaches to $\langle 1n \rangle$ and $\langle rn \rangle$ \\ \end{tabular}$

Other symbols

 $\langle \mathsf{pop_adp} \rangle \qquad \qquad \mathsf{postpositional\ particle; co\text{-}occurs\ with\ a\ preposition\ (see\ (2)\ above)}$

⟨nc_⟩ specifier: marks form glosses with RefIND indices in segments otherwise

not considered (i.e. those marked (#nc))

B List of abbreviated morphological glosses

| 1 | first person | NEG | negation |
|-------|----------------------|------|-------------------------|
| 2 | second person | OBL | oblique case |
| 3 | third person | PL | plural |
| ADP | adposition | POP | postpositional particle |
| COMPL | complementizer | POSS | possessive |
| COP | copula | PRF | perfective |
| DEM | demonstrative | PROG | progressive |
| DRCT | directional particle | PRS | present |
| EMPH | emphasis | PST | past |
| EZ | ezafe | PTCP | participle |
| EXCL | exclamation | RED | partial reduplication |
| F | feminine | REFL | reflexive |
| FUT | future | SUBJ | subjunctive |
| IMP | imperative | SG | singular |
| IND | indicative | VOC | vocative |
| INDF | indefinite | | |
| M | masculine | NC | not classified |
| MOD | modal | | |



multicast.aspra.uni-bamberg.de/