# Multi-CAST English annotation notes

Nils Norman Schiborr

August 2019 v2.0









University of Bamberg

# Multilingual Corpus of Annotated Spoken Texts

#### Citation for this document

Schiborr, Nils N. 2019. Multi-CAST English annotation notes. In Haig, Geoffrey & Schnell, Stefan (eds.), Multi-CAST: Multilingual corpus of annotated spoken texts. (multicast.aspra.uni-bamberg.de/) (date accessed)

Citation for the Multi-CAST collection

Haig, Geoffrey & Schnell, Stefan (eds.). 2015. Multi-CAST: Multilingual corpus of annotated spoken texts. (multicast.aspra.uni-bamberg.de/) (date accessed)

The Multi-CAST collection has been archived at the University of Bamberg, Germany, and is freely accessible online at multicast.aspra.uni-bamberg.de/.

The entirety of Multi-CAST, including this document, is published under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Licence (CC BY 4.0), unless noted otherwise. The licence can be reviewed online at creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Multi-CAST English annotation notes v2.0 last updated 24 August 2019 This document was typeset by NNS with XALATEX and the *multicast3* class (v3.2.1).

# Contents

1	Note	s on the GRAID annotations	1				
	1.1	Structurally and pragmatically suppressed arguments	1				
		1.1.1 Non-finite clauses	1				
		1.1.2 Relative clauses	2				
	1.2	Imperatives	3				
	1.3	Same-subject deletion	3				
	1.4	Ellipsis in VP-echo structures	4				
	1.5	Direct speech	4				
	1.6	Complex predicates	5				
	1.7	Possessive pronouns					
	1.8	Generic references	6				
2	Note	s on the RefIND annotations	6				
	2.1	Referents in clauses otherwise not considered	6				
Re	eferen	ces	7				
A	opend	ices	8				
	Α	List of corpus-specific GRAID symbols	8				
	В	List of abbreviated morphological glosses 9					

### **1** Notes on the GRAID annotations

This document contains notes on the implementation of the GRAID (Haig & Schnell 2014) and RefIND (Schiborr et al. 2018) annotation conventions in the Multi-CAST English corpus. It corresponds to version 1908 of the annotations, published in August 2019. Unless a more recent version of this document exists, it also applies to any later versions of the annotations.

#### 1.1 Structurally and pragmatically suppressed arguments

In standard GRAID, unexpressed clausal referents are annotated, as (0), only when they are

- licensed by the predicate,
- specific and retrievable from the discourse context, and, crucially,
- not in an argument slot that is systematically suppressed by the predicate.

The third criterion assumes that it is possible to distinguish two types of referential null argument: zero that is structurally licensed, but omitted due to context-specific pragmatic factors, and zero that is either systematically suppressed or not licensed due to purely structural factors. As noted above, GRAID captures only the former, as only in this case are speakers understood to exercise any choice of expression. The latter cases remain unannotated.

For the annotation of English we have decided to introduce a form symbol  $\langle f 0 \rangle$  'forced zero', which aims to capture those categorically suppressed referents that contrastive zero  $\langle 0 \rangle$  does not. As  $\langle f 0 \rangle$  is not a kind of  $\langle 0 \rangle$ , the two categories should never be conflated during analysis. Currently, the  $\langle f 0 \rangle$  symbol is used only in the English and Sanzhi Dargwa corpora in Multi-CAST. It is planned to become part of the standard GRAID specification as an optional gloss in the future. In English,  $\langle f 0 \rangle$  occurs in non-finite clauses (Section 1.1.1) in one type of relative clause (Section 1.1.2).

#### 1.1.1 Non-finite clauses

Non-finite clauses in English in general do not allow overt expression of their subjects, which accordingly are annotated  $\langle f0 \rangle$ . The head of the verbal complex receives the  $\langle vother \rangle$  'non-canonical verb form' gloss. The following examples showcase infinitival clauses with and without *to* (1–2), as well as present and past participial clauses (3–4).<sup>1</sup>

(1) And Father went down to see the agent, ...

	and	father	went	down
	and	father	go.pst	down
##	other	np.h:s	v:pred	rv

to see the agent 0\_father to see.INF the agent #ac f0.h:a lv vother:pred ln\_det np.h:p

[mc\_english\_kent02\_0428]

<sup>1</sup> For an alternative interpretation of (4) and similar constructions (e.g. *eat the porridge hot*), see the literature on secondary predicates, for example Schultze-Berndt & Himmelmann (2004).

(2) Didn't dare let the governor see us. did-n't dare 0\_we do.pst-neg dare.inf ##neg 0.1:a lv\_aux v:pred let governor 0\_we let.inf governor #cc:p f0.1:a vother:pred np.h:p see us 0\_governor see.INF 1pl.obl #cc:obl f0.h:a vother:pred pro.1:p [mc\_english\_kent02\_0810] (3) I was just in the wood, getting a rabbit. Ι was just in the wood be.pst.1sg just in the wood 1sg other adp ln\_det np:pred\_l ## pro.1:s cop rabbit getting а get.PTCP.PRS a rabbit 0\_I # f0.1:a vother:pred ln\_deti np:p [mc\_english\_kent02\_0221] And I couldn't go see him killed. (4) could-n't go and Ι him see and could-neg go.inf see.inf 3sg.m.obl 1sg ## other pro.1:a lv\_aux lv\_v v:pred pro:p killed 0\_Buller kill-ptcp.pst #cc:obl f0:s vother:pred [mc\_english\_kent02\_0059]

#### 1.1.2 Relative clauses

English has two formal types of relative clauses: those that contain an anaphoric relative pronoun such as *who* or *which*, and those that do not. In the former, the relative pronoun is annotated  $(rel_pro)$  and carries its respective function:

(5) I spoke to the people who lived near that place.

	<i>spoke</i> speak.pst v:pred	to t	he	<i>people</i> people np.h:g
who who #rc rel_pro	live-	PST ne	ear DIS	nt place sT.SG place _dem np:1

[mc\_english\_devon01\_0136]

In the latter, the gapped constituent is not overtly expressed. While in a bare relative like in (6) a relative pronoun could conceivably be inserted, if the subordinator *that* is present, as in (7), overt expression of the gapped constituent is systematically blocked. In keeping with the rules above, the gap in a bare relative clause is annotated  $\langle rel_0 \rangle$  'pragmatically omitted argument of a bare relative clause', and the forced gap in a relative clause with *that* receives the gloss  $\langle rel_f 0 \rangle$  'structurally suppressed argument of a *that*-relative clause'. The subordinator *that* receives the gloss  $\langle other \rangle$ .

(6) That's the first thing you put in on a farm.

			2	07 1	-					
		DIST.S	SG	='s =be.pst.3se	G the	first		thing		
	##	dem_p	pro:s	=cop	ln_det	ln_a	adj	np:pred		
				уои						
	#rc			2sG imp_pro.2:	•					
	#IC	IET_	_o.p	1111p_p10.2.	a v.preu	IV	aup	IN_det1	пр.т	[mc_english_kent02_0562]
										Luc_engiisn_kentoz_0502]
(7)	If h	e got d	one th	at wasn't ge	nuine,					
				got						
	#ac			1 get.PST h:a v:pred		p				
				-	-	-				
			that		was-n			0		
	#rc	.neg		0_horse r rel_f0.h				0	red	
										[mc_english_kent01_0016]

#### 1.2 Imperatives

In English, subjects are generally but not categorically omitted in imperatives. If omitted, they are annotated as  $\langle 0 \rangle$  with the additional specifier  $\langle imp_{-} \rangle$ :

(8) Have a look at him, try him.

have look him а at 0 father have.IMP a look at 3sg.m.obl ##ds imp\_0.2:s v:pred other other:lvc adp pro:obl try him 0\_father try.imp 3sg.m.obl ##ds imp\_0.2:a v:pred pro:p

[mc\_english\_kent01\_0193]

#### 1.3 Same-subject deletion

By far the most frequent occurrence of zero in English is in coordinated clauses with co-referential subjects, which often form long chains like the one in (9). In clauses of this kind, echoed auxiliaries

are omitted alongside the subject. While the subject receives the gloss  $\langle 0 \rangle$ , no zero element is added for unexpressed auxiliaries.

(9) I used to go up there, and load it, and take it home, pitch it on a stack, and stack it.

```
Ι
           used
                  to go
                             up there
                  to go.INF up there
           used
   1sg
## pro.1:s lv_aux lv v:pred adp other:g
               load
   and
                       it
   and
         0 1
               load.INF 3sg.N.OBL
## other 0.1:a v:pred pro:p
   and
               take
                       it
                                 home
   and
               take.INF 3sg.N.OBL home
## other 0.1:a v:pred pro:p
                                 other:g
                                       stack
         pitch
                 it
                          on a
         pitch.INF 3sg.N.OBL on a
                                        stack
## 0.1:a v:pred pro:p
                           adp ln_deti np:g
   and
               stack
                        it
   and
               stack.INF 3sg.N.OBL
## other 0.1:a v:pred pro:p
```

[mc\_english\_kent02\_0129]

#### 1.4 Ellipsis in VP-echo structures

Spoken English makes extensive use of various expletive auxiliaries when identical VPs are echoed. This strategy is particularly common in responses to questions:

(10)	a.	Can't you build me one with three rows?
		<i>can't you build me one with three rows</i> can.NEG 2SG build.INF 1SG.OBL one with three row-PL
		##ds lv_aux pro.2:a v:pred pro.1:p num_np:p2 rn_adp rn_num rn_np
	b.	Yeah, can, if you like.
		yeahcanifyoulikeyeah0_managercan0_thatif2sGlike.PRS##dsother0.1:alv_aux0:p#acadppro.2:sv:pred
		[mc_english_kent02_0550]

As seen here, the substituted phrases may, at least conceptually, include direct objects, for which zero glosses  $\langle 0 \rangle$  are inserted.

#### 1.5 Direct speech

Direct speech, as it is syntactically independent and may span several clauses, is not annotated as the object of the clauses that introduce or conclude it. In contrast to verbs of speech with NP objects, which may be transitive (e.g. *she said nothing*) or ditransitive (e.g. *she told us a story*), verbs of speech bookending direct speech have been annotated as either intransitive or, if

4

#### Multi-CAST English annotation notes

a direct object addressee is present, as transitive. In order to note their special status, the subjects of these verbs have the additional specifier  $\langle ds \rangle$  'subject of a verb of speech' attached to their respective function glosses.

(11) And Father says, He'll do. and father he ='ll do says and father 3sg.m =will do.inf say.prs.3sg ## other np.h:s\_ds v:pred ##ds pro.h:s =lv\_aux v:pred [mc\_english\_kent01\_0256] (12)So she told her groom, Put the horse in the cart! told she her so groom 3sg tell.pst 3sg.f.poss SO groom ## other pro.h:a\_ds v:pred ln\_pro.h:poss np.h:p the the put horse in cart the cart 0\_groom put.IMP horse in the ##ds imp\_0.2:a vother:pred ln\_det np:p adp ln\_det np:g [mc\_english\_kent02\_0047]

#### *1.6 Complex predicates*

Complex predicates combine a semantically weak light verb (also called vector verb) such as do, take, or be, with a non-verbal element of some kind. The latter supplies most of the semantic content of the expression, but does not exhibit many of the properties of regular objects (see Berlage 2010), and is hence not identified as such in the GRAID annotations. Instead, the non-verbal element is glossed  $\langle : lvc \rangle$  'light verb complement', marking it out as a special kind of expression. It invariably receives the form gloss  $\langle other \rangle$ , irrespective of its lexical category.

The light verb and its complement jointly contribute to the argument structure of the whole expression (cf. Butt 2010). As such, since the complement is not treated as an object, the function gloss of the subject is determined by the absence or (at least implied) presence of (another) object in the clause. The following examples illustrate the annotation schema, (13) for an intransitive and (14) for a transitive predicate.

(13) If the pony didn't take notice, ...

	if	the	pony	did-n't	take	notice
	if	the	pony	do.pst-neg	take.INF	notice
#ac	adp	ln_det	np:s	lv_aux	v:pred	other:lvc

[mc\_english\_kent01\_0202]

(14) So we got hold of the police.

	SO	we	got	hold	of	the	police
	SO	1pl	get.PST	hold	of	the	police
##	other	pro.1:a	v:pred	other:lvc	rv	ln_det	np.h:p

[mc\_english\_kent02\_0619]

#### 1.7 Possessive pronouns

English possessive determiners (*mine*, *her*) may occur without an explicitly mentioned possessum, in which case they assume an altered form (*mine*, *hers*). Both are annotated as subconstituents of the possessed NP, as  $\langle ln_pro:poss \rangle$ . With the latter, the omitted possessum is inserted as  $\langle 0 \rangle$ .

(15) All the young calves coming in and knew their mothers. all the young calves coming in young calf.PL come.PTCP.PRS in all the # ln ln\_det ln\_adj np:s vother:pred rv knew *mother-s* and their and O\_calves know.PST 3PL.POSS mother-PL ## and 0:a v:pred ln\_pro:poss np:p [mc\_english\_devon01\_0046] (16)You know, they want theirs. vou know they want theirs know.prs 3pl want.prs theirs 2sg 0\_wurzel ## other other pro:a v:pred ln\_pro:poss 0:p

[mc\_english\_kent02\_0599]

#### 1.8 Generic references

Constructions invoking generic referents, for example with *you* or *one*, are annotated with the specifier  $(gen_)$ , such as  $(gen_pro.2)$  in (17). In general, they should not be combined with other forms during analysis. Generic referents do not receive referent indices.

(17) When you talk of Churston, you've got to bring in Galmpton as well.

wł	nen	2sg	<i>talk</i> talk.prs 2:a v:pred	of	Churston	%			
<i>you</i> 2sg gen_pro.2	:	=have.prs	got get.PTCP.PST lv_aux	to	bring.INF	in	Galmpton pn_np:p	as other	<i>well</i> well other _devon01_0009]

# 2 Notes on the RefIND annotations

#### 2.1 Referents in clauses otherwise not considered

Where segments have not been annotated because they are incomplete or not syntactically well-formed, or because they stand outside of the normal flow of narration, they are marked as  $\langle \#nc \rangle$  'not considered', and all of the elements inside them are glossed  $\langle nc \rangle$ .

Some of these segments, however, do contain identifiable discourse references. These are, presumably, still registered by the interlocutors even in cases where the clause they reside in is abandoned partway through. In order to preserve the genuine sequence of reference in the annotations, mentions of referents inside segments that otherwise not considered are nevertheless assigned referent indices. In the English corpus, these elements further receive GRAID form and person/animacy glosses with the  $\langle nc_{-} \rangle$  specifier, noting their extraneous status. Grammatical functions are not glossed.

(18)	a.	[Inte	erviewer	:] Did peo	ple like t	he gy	psies in th	hose	days?	
				1 1			g <i>ypsies</i> gypsy.pl			2
		#nc	nc	nc_np.h 0022	nc	nc	nc_np.h 0003	nc	nc	nc
	b.	Oh,	we didn	't mind'en	n.					

	oh	we	did-n't	mind	='em
	oh	1pl	do.PST-NEG	mind.INF	=3pl.obl
#nc	nc	nc_pro.1 0023	nc	nc	nc_pro.h 0003

[mc\_english\_kent02\_0022-0023]

## References

- Berlage, Eva. 2010. Lexicalisation of predicative complements in English. *Transactions of the Philological Society* 108(1). 53–67.
- Butt, Miriam. 2010. The light verb jungle: Still hacking away. In Amberber, Mengistu & Harvey, Mark & Baker, Brett (eds.), *Complex predicates in cross-linguistic perspective*, 48–78. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Haig, Geoffrey & Schnell, Stefan. 2014. Annotations using GRAID (Grammatical Relations and Animacy in Discourse): Introduction and guidelines for annotators (version 7.0). (https://multicast.aspra. uni-bamberg.de/#annotations) (Accessed 2019-03-08).
- Haig, Geoffrey & Schnell, Stefan (eds.). 2016. *Multi-CAST: Multilingual Corpus of Annotated Spoken Texts*. (https://multicast.aspra.uni-bamberg.de/) (Accessed 2019-03-08).
- Huddleston, Rodney D. & Pullum, Geoffrey K. (eds.). 2002. *The Cambridge grammar of the English language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Schiborr, Nils N. 2015. Multi-CAST English. In Haig, Geoffrey & Schnell, Stefan (eds.), Multi-CAST: Multilingual corpus of annotated spoken texts. (https://multicast.aspra.uni-bamberg.de/#english) (Accessed 2016-02-28).
- Schiborr, Nils N. & Schnell, Stefan & Thiele, Hanna. 2018. RefIND Referent Indexing in Natural-language Discourse: Annotation guidelines (v1.1). Bamberg / Melbourne: University of Bamberg. (https:// multicast.aspra.uni-bamberg.de/#annotations) (Accessed 2019-03-08).
- Schultze-Berndt, Eva & Himmelmann, Nikolaus P. 2004. Depictive secondary predicates in crosslinguistic perspective. *Linguistic Typology* 8. 59–131.

# **Appendices**

# A List of corpus-specific GRAID symbols

The following is a list of the non-standard GRAID symbols used in the annotation of the Multi-CAST English corpus. Please refer to the *GRAID manual* (Haig & Schnell 2014: 54–55) for an inventory of basic GRAID symbols.

#### Form symbols and specifiers

$\langle f0 \rangle$ $\langle rel_f0 \rangle$	structurally suppressed argument slot of a predicate structural gap in a relative clause with <i>that</i>
<pre>(imp_0)</pre>	omitted subject of an imperative verb
<rel_0></rel_0>	gap in a bare relative clause
⟨dem_pro⟩	demonstrative pronoun
<rel_pro></rel_pro>	relative pronoun
<num_np></num_np>	numeral
<pn_np></pn_np>	proper name
$\langle indef_other \rangle$	indefinite pronoun
$\langle intrg_other \rangle$	interrogative pronoun
⟨gen_⟩	<i>specifier:</i> form with generic reference (e.g. <i>you, one</i> )

#### *Function symbols and specifiers*

<:lvc>	non-verbal complement of a complex predicate
<:s_ds>	subject of a verb of speech, intransitive
<:a_ds>	subject of a verb of speech, transitive

#### Subconstituent symbols

⟨_adj⟩	attributive adjective; attaches to $\langle ln \rangle$ and $\langle rn \rangle$
$\langle \_dem \rangle$	demonstrative determiner; attaches to $\langle ln\rangle$ and $\langle rn\rangle$
$\langle det \rangle$	definite article; attaches to $\langle ln \rangle$ and $\langle rn \rangle$
⟨_deti⟩	indefinite article; attaches to $\langle ln \rangle$ and $\langle rn \rangle$
$\langle detq \rangle$	quantifier; attaches to $\langle ln \rangle$ and $\langle rn \rangle$
$\langle \_num \rangle$	attributive numeral; attaches to $\langle ln \rangle$ and $\langle rn \rangle$
<_aux>	auxiliary; attaches to $\langle l v \rangle$ and $\langle r v \rangle$

#### Other symbols

<nc_></nc_>	specifier: marks form glosses with RefIND indices in segments otherwise
	not considered (i.e. those marked (#nc))

# **B** List of abbreviated morphological glosses

1	first person	PL	plural
2	second person	POSS	possessive
3	third person	PROX	proximal
CMPR	comparative	PRS	present
DIST	distal	PST	past
F	feminine	PTCP	participle
HORT	hortative	REFL	reflexive
IMP	imperative		
INF	infinitive	SG	singular
М	masculine	SUBJ	subjunctive
Ν	neuter	SUPR	superlative
NEG	negation		
OBL	oblique case	NC	not classifiable



multicast.aspra.uni-bamberg.de/